

## UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address COMMISSIONER OF PATENTS AND TRADEMARKS Washington, D.C. 20231 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
09/443.780	11/19/1999	DANIEL JOSEPH O'MAHONY	99.1063.US	7713
. 75	90 02/11/2003			
Marilou S. Watson			EXAMINER	
Synnestvedt & I 2600 Aramark T	Tower		SCHEINER, LAURIE A	
1101 Market Street Philadelphia, PA 19107-2950			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
•		·	DATE MAILED: 02/11/2003	17

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

Application No. 09/443,780

Applicant(s)

Office Action Summary

vaminer

Laurie Scheiner

Art Unit

1648

O'Mahony



	The MAILING DATE of this communication appears of	
	or Reply	
	ORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY IS SET TAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION.	TO EXPIRE3 MONTH(S) FROM
	ons of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.136 (a). In riddee of this communication.	no event, however, may a reply be timely filed after SIX (6) MONTHS from the
- If the p - If NO p - Failure - Any rep	eriod for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days, a reply within th	nd will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. e application to become ABANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133).
Status	,	
1) 💢	Responsive to communication(s) filed on Oct 11, 20	002
2a) 🗌	This action is <b>FINAL</b> . 2b) ☑ This acti	on is non-final.
3) 🗆	Since this application is in condition for allowance e closed in accordance with the practice under Ex pair	xcept for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is received. 1935 C.D. 11; 453 O.G. 213.
	ion of Claims	•
4) 💢	Claim(s) <u>1-41</u>	is/are pending in the application.
4	a) Of the above, claim(s) <u>4-41</u>	is/are withdrawn from consideration.
5) 🗆	Claim(s)	is/are allowed.
6) 💢	Claim(s) <u>1-3</u>	is/are rejected.
7) 🗆	Claim(s)	is/are objected to.
8) 🗆	Claims	are subject to restriction and/or election requirement.
Applica	tion Papers	
9) 🗌	The specification is objected to by the Examiner.	
10)	The drawing(s) filed on is/are	a) $\square$ accepted or b) $\square$ objected to by the Examiner.
	Applicant may not request that any objection to the di	rawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a).
11)	The proposed drawing correction filed on	is: a) $\square$ approved b) $\square$ disapproved by the Examiner.
	If approved, corrected drawings are required in reply t	o this Office action.
12)	The oath or declaration is objected to by the Exami	ner.
Priority	under 35 U.S.C. §§ 119 and 120	
13) 🗌	Acknowledgement is made of a claim for foreign pr	iority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f).
a) 🗆	All b) ☐ Some * c) ☐ None of:	
•	1. $\square$ Certified copies of the priority documents have	e been received.
:	2. $\square$ Certified copies of the priority documents have	e been received in Application No
;	3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority do application from the International Burea	ocuments have been received in this National Stage au (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).
*Se	ee the attached detailed Office action for a list of the	e certified copies not received.
14) 🗆	Acknowledgement is made of a claim for domestic	priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(e).
_	The translation of the foreign language provisiona	
15)∐	Acknowledgement is made of a claim for domestic	priority under 35 U.S.C. §§ 120 and/or 121.
Attachmo		
	ice of References Cited (PTO-892)	4) Interview Summary (PTO-413) Paper No(s).
_	ice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948)  ormation Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449) Paper No(s).	5) Notice of Informal Patent Application (PTO-152)
٠, ١		6) Other:

Application/Control Number: 09/443,780

Art Unit: 1648

Claims 1-41 are pending in this application. Claims 4-41 are directed to an invention that is independent from the invention originally claimed. Thus, claims 4-41 are withdrawn by the examiner based on constructive election by original presentation. See 37 C.F.R. § 1.142(b) and M.P.E.P. § 821.03. Amended claims 1-3 are considered.

35 U.S.C. § 101 reads as follows:

"Whoever invents or discovers any new and useful process, machine, manufacture, or composition of matter or any new and useful improvement thereof, may obtain a patent therefore, subject to the conditions and requirements of this title".

Claims 1-3 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. § 101 because the claimed invention is directed to non-statutory subject matter. The antibody, as claimed, has the same characteristics and utility as that found in nature and therefore does not constitute patentable subject matter. In the absence of the hand of man, the naturally occurring antibody is considered non-statutory subject matter. Diamond v. Chakrabarty, 206 USPQ 193 (1980). Additionally, mere purity of a naturally occurring product does not necessarily impart patentability. Ex parte Siddiqui, 156 USPQ 426 (1966). However, when purity results in a new utility, patentability is considered. Merck Co. v. Chase Chemical Co., 273 F. Supp. 68 (1967). See also American Wood v. Fiber Disintegrating Co., 90 US 566 (1974); American Fruit Growers v. Brogdex Co., 283 US 1 (1931); Funk Brother Seed Co. v. Kalo Inoculant Co., 283 US 127 (1984). Amending the claims to recite a purity limitation is suggested to obviate this rejection. Suggested language is "An isolated antibody..."

The attempt to amend the disclosure to include the material incorporated by reference is improper since the instant specification incorporates WO 98/51325 in its entirety, rather than pointing out specific portions or subject matter. That is, amending the instant specification to add specific subject matter without a specific basis in the disclosure is improper. Thus, the

Application/Control Number: 09/443,780

Art Unit: 1648

specification must be amended to include all of WO 98/51325. Also applicants fail in providing an accompanying declaration in accordance with MPEP 608.01 (p).

Page 3

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Laurie Scheiner, whose telephone number is (703) 308-1122. Due to a flexible work schedule, the examiner's hours typically vary each day. However, the examiner can normally be reached Monday thru Friday. If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, James Housel, can be reached on (703) 308-4027.

Any inquiry of a general nature or relating to the status of this application should be directed to the Group 1600 receptionist whose telephone number is (703) 308-0196.

Correspondence related to this application may be submitted to Group 1600 by facsimile transmission. The faxing of such papers must conform with the notice published in the Official Gazette, 1096 OG 30 (November 15, 1989). Official communications should be directed toward one of the following Group 1600 fax numbers: (703) 308-4242, (703) 305-3014, (703) 872-9306 or (703) 872-9307. Informal communications may be submitted directly to the Examiner through the following fax number: (703) 746-5226.

Laurie Scheiner/LAS February 4, 2003